SENATE AMENDMENTS

2nd Printing

By: Miller, Bonnen of Brazoria, Zerwas, H.B. No. 1886 Guillen, Fallon, et al.

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT						
2	relating to dyslexia screening and testing, the employment of						
3	dyslexia specialists by regional education service centers, and the						
4	development by the Texas Education Agency of a list of training						
5	opportunities for educators regarding dyslexia.						
6	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:						
7	SECTION 1. Subchapter B, Chapter 8, Education Code, is						
8	amended by adding Section 8.061 to read as follows:						
9	Sec. 8.061. DYSLEXIA SPECIALIST. Each regional education						
10	service center shall employ as a dyslexia specialist a person						
11	licensed as a dyslexia therapist under Chapter 403, Occupations						
12	Code, to provide school districts served by the center with support						
13	and resources that are necessary to assist students with dyslexia						
14	and the families of students with dyslexia.						
15	SECTION 2. Sections 38.003(a) and (b-1), Education Code,						
16	are amended to read as follows:						
17	(a) Students enrolling in public schools in this state shall						
18	be <u>screened or</u> tested, as appropriate, for dyslexia and related						
19	disorders at appropriate times in accordance with a program						
20	approved by the State Board of Education. <u>The program must include</u>						
21	screening at the end of the school year of each student in						
22	kindergarten and each student in the first grade.						

(b-1) Unless otherwise provided by law, a student
determined to have dyslexia during <u>screening or</u> testing under

1

H.B. No. 1886 1 Subsection (a) or accommodated because of dyslexia may not be rescreened or retested for dyslexia for the purpose of reassessing 2 3 the student's need for accommodations until the district reevaluates the information obtained from previous screening or 4 5 testing of the student. SECTION 3. Subchapter A, Chapter 38, Education Code, is 6 7 amended by adding Section 38.0032 to read as follows: 8 Sec. 38.0032. DYSLEXIA TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES. (a) The agency shall annually develop a list of training opportunities 9 regarding dyslexia that satisfy the requirements of Section 10 21.054(b). The list of training opportunities must include at 11 12 least one opportunity that is available online. (b) A training opportunity included in the list developed 13 14 under Subsection (a) must: 15 (1) comply with the knowledge and practice standards 16 of an international organization on dyslexia; and 17 (2) enable an educator to: (A) understand and recognize dyslexia; and 18 (B) implement instruction that is systematic, 19 explicit, and evidence-based to meet the educational needs of a 20 student with dyslexia. 21 SECTION 4. Section 38.003, Education Code, as amended by 22 this Act, applies beginning with the 2017-2018 school year. 23 24 SECTION 5. This Act takes effect immediately if it receives a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as 25

25 a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, as 26 provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. If this 27 Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate effect, this

2

H.B. No. 1886

1 Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

ADOPTED VV MAY 2 4 2017 Actay Jacu BY: Anellochique Secreting of the Senate

1	Amend H.B. No. 1886 (senate committee printing) by adding
2	the following appropriately numbered SECTIONS to the bill and
3	renumbering SECTIONS of the bill accordingly:
4	SECTION Section 29.006, Education Code, is amended by
5	adding Subsections (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h) to read as
6	follows:
7	(d) Committee meetings must be conducted in compliance
8	with Chapter 551, Government Code.
9	(e) The committee shall provide a procedure for members of
10	the public to speak at committee meetings. The procedure may
11	not require a member of the public to register to speak earlier
12	than the day of the meeting.
13	(f) The agency must post on the agency's Internet website:
14	(1) contact information for each member of the
15	committee;
16	(2) notice of each open meeting of the committee;
17	(3) minutes of each open meeting of the committee;
18	and
19	(4) guidance concerning how to submit public comments
20	to the committee.
21	(g) The committee shall develop a policy to encourage
22	public participation with the committee.
23	(h) Not later than January 1 of each odd-numbered year,
24	the committee shall submit a report to the legislature with
25	recommended changes to state law and agency rules relating to
26	special education. The committee shall include the committee's
27	current policy on encouraging public participation, as required
28	by Subsection (g), in the report.
29	SECTION . Section 29.006(d), Education Code, as added by

1

[**P.4**]

17.144.12 SRS

1 this Act, applies only to an open meeting of the special 2 education continuing advisory committee held on or after the 3 effective date of this Act.

17.144.12 SRS

[**P.5**]

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

¥ ...

MAY 2 4 2017 BY: Caffirin

Amend H.B. 1886 (senate committee printing) by adding the following appropriately numbered SECTIONS to the bill and renumbering subsequent SECTIONS of the bill accordingly:

4 SECTION __. Section 29.011, Education Code, is amended to 5 read as follows:

Sec. 29.011. TRANSITION PLANNING. (a) The commissioner 6 7 shall by rule adopt procedures for compliance with federal requirements relating to transition services for students who 8 9 are enrolled in special education programs under this subchapter. The procedures must specify the manner in which a 10 11 student's admission, review, and dismissal committee must 12 consider, and if appropriate, address the following issues in the student's individualized education program: 13

14 (1) appropriate student involvement in the student's15 transition to life outside the public school system;

16 (2) if the student is younger than 18 years of age, 17 appropriate [parental] involvement in the student's transition 18 by the student's parents and other persons invited to 19 participate by:

20

(A) the student's parents; or

21 (B) the school district in which the student is 22 enrolled;

(3) if the student is at least 18 years of age,
[appropriate parental] involvement in the student's transition
and future by the student's parents and other persons, if the
parent or other person:

27 <u>(A)</u> is invited to participate by the student or 28 the school district in which the student is enrolled<u>; or</u>

29	(B)	has	the	student's	consent	to	participate
				1		17	.144.437 KJE

1 pursuant to a supported decision-making agreement under Chapter 1357, Estates Code; 2 3 (4) appropriate [any] postsecondary education options, including preparation for postsecondary-level 4 5 coursework; (5) an appropriate [a] functional vocational 6 7 evaluation; appropriate employment goals and objectives; 8 (6) 9 (7) if the student is at least 18 years of age, the 10 availability of age-appropriate instructional environments, 11 including community settings or environments that prepare the student for postsecondary education or training, competitive 12 integrated employment, or independent living, in coordination 13 14 with the student's transition goals and objectives; 15 (8) appropriate independent living goals and 16 objectives; [and] 17 (9) appropriate circumstances for facilitating a referral of [referring] a student or the student's parents to a 18 19 governmental agency for services or public benefits, including a 20 referral to a governmental agency to place the student on a waiting list for public benefits available to the student, such 21 as a waiver program established under Section 1915(c), Social 22 23 Security Act (42 U.S.C. Section 1396n(c)); and 24 (10) the use and availability of appropriate: 25 (A) supplementary aids, services, curricula, and other opportunities to assist the student in developing 26 27 decision-making skills; and 28 (B) supports and services to foster the student's independence and self-determination, including a 29 30 supported decision-making agreement under Chapter 1357, Estates 31 Code. 2 17.144.437 KJE

[**P.7**]

1 <u>(a-1) A student's admission, review, and dismissal</u>
2 committee shall annually review the issues described by
3 Subsection (a) and, if necessary, update the portions of the
4 student's individualized education program that address those
5 issues.

6 <u>(a-2) The commissioner shall develop and post on the</u> 7 <u>agency's Internet website a list of services and public benefits</u> 8 for which referral may be appropriate under Subsection (a)(9).

9 (b) The commissioner shall require each school district or 10 shared services arrangement to designate at least one employee 11 to serve as the district's or shared services arrangement's 12 designee on transition and employment services for students 13 enrolled in special education programs under this subchapter. 14 The commissioner shall develop minimum training guidelines for a district's or shared services arrangement's designee. 15 An 16 individual designated under this subsection must provide 17 information and resources about effective transition planning 18 and services, including each issue described by Subsection (a), and interagency coordination to ensure that local school staff 19 20 communicate and collaborate with:

21 (1) students enrolled in special education programs 22 under this subchapter and the parents of those students; and 23 (2) as appropriate, local and regional staff of the: 24 (A) Health and Human Services Commission; 25 Texas Workforce Commission [Department of (B) 26 Aging and Disability Services]; 27 (C) [Department of Assistive and Rehabilitative 28 Services; 29 [(D)] Department of State Health Services; and 30 (D) [(E)] Department of Family and Protective 31 Services.

3

17.144.437 KJE

(c) The commissioner shall review and, if necessary, 1 update the minimum training guidelines developed under 2 Subsection (b) at least once every four years. In reviewing and 3 updating the guidelines, the commissioner shall solicit input 4 5 from stakeholders. SECTION . Sections 29.0112(b) and (e), Education Code, 6 7 are amended to read as follows: 8 (b) The transition and employment guide must be written in 9 plain language and contain information specific to this state 10 regarding: 11 (1) transition services: (2) employment and supported employment services; 12 13 (3) social security programs; 14 (4) community and long-term services and support, 15 including the option to place the student on a waiting list with 16 a governmental agency for public benefits available to the 17 student, such as a waiver program established under Section 18 1915(c), Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. Section 1396n(c)); 19 (5) postsecondary educational programs and services, 20 including the inventory maintained by the Texas Higher Education 21 Coordinating Board under Section 61.0663; 22 (6) information sharing with health and human 23 services agencies and providers; 24 (7) guardianship and alternatives to guardianship, 25 including a supported decision-making agreement under Chapter 26 1357, Estates Code; (8) self-advocacy, person-directed planning, and 27 28 self-determination; and 29 (9) contact information for all relevant state 30 agencies. 31 (e) A school district shall: 17.144.437 KJE

[**P.9**]

1 (1) post the transition and employment guide on the 2 district's website if the district maintains a website; [and] 3 (2) provide written information and, if necessary, assistance to a student or parent regarding how to access the 4 5 electronic version of the guide at: (A) the first meeting of the student's 6 7 admission, review, and dismissal committee at which transition 8 is discussed; and [or] 9 (B) the first committee meeting at which 10 transition is discussed that occurs after the date on which the guide is updated; and 11 12 (3) on request, provide a printed copy of the guide to a student or parent [becomes available, if a student has 13 14 already had an admission, review, and dismissal committee 15 meeting_discussing_transition]. 16 SECTION . Section 29.017, Education Code, is amended by 17 amending Subsections (c) and (d) and adding Subsections (c-1), (c-2), (c-3), (e), and (f) to read as follows: 18 19 (c) Not later than one year before the 18th birthday of a student with a disability, the school district at which the 20 student is enrolled shall: 21 22 (1) provide to the student and the student's parents: 23 (A) written notice regarding the transfer of rights under this section; and 24 (B) information and resources regarding 25 guardianship, alternatives to guardianship, including a 26 27 supported decision-making agreement under Chapter 1357, Estates 28 Code, and other supports and services that may enable the 29 student to live independently; and (2) ensure that the student's individualized 30 31 education program includes a statement that the district 17.144.437 KJE 5

[**P.10**]

1 provided the notice, information, and resources required under 2 Subdivision (1).

3 <u>(c-1)</u> In accordance with 34 C.F.R. Section <u>300.520</u> 4 [300.517], the school district shall <u>provide written notice to</u> 5 [notify] the student and the <u>student's</u> parents of the transfer 6 of rights under this section. <u>The notice must include the</u> 7 information and resources provided under Subsection (c)(1)(B).

8 (c-2) If a student with a disability or the student's 9 parent requests information regarding guardianship or 10 alternatives to guardianship from the school district at which 11 the student is enrolled, the school district shall provide to 12 the student or parent information and resources on supported 13 decision-making agreements under Chapter 1357, Estates Code.

14 <u>(c-3) The commissioner shall develop and post on the</u> 15 <u>agency's Internet website a model form for use by school</u> 16 <u>districts in notifying students and parents as required by</u> 17 <u>Subsections (c) and (c-1). The form must include the</u> 18 <u>information and resources described by Subsection (c). The</u> 19 <u>commissioner shall review and update the form, including the</u> 20 <u>information and resources, as necessary.</u>

(d) <u>The commissioner shall develop and post on the</u> agency's Internet website the information and resources <u>described by Subsections (c), (c-1), and (c-2).</u>

(e) Nothing in this section prohibits a student from
entering into a supported decision-making agreement under
Chapter 1357, Estates Code, after the transfer of rights under
this section.

28 (f) The commissioner shall adopt rules implementing the 29 provisions of 34 C.F.R. Section <u>300.520(b)</u> [300.517(b)].

30 SECTION __. Sections 29.011, 29.0112, and 29.017, 31 Education Code, as amended by this Act, apply beginning with the 6 17.144.437 KJE

[**P.11**]

1 2018-2019 school year.

a i a

17.144.437 KJE

7

FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 25, 2017

TO: Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1886 by Miller (Relating to dyslexia screening and testing, the employment of dyslexia specialists by regional education service centers, and the development by the Texas Education Agency of a list of training opportunities for educators regarding dyslexia.), **As Passed 2nd House**

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Education Code to require screening or testing of all students for dyslexia upon enrollment in kindergarten and testing each student in the first grade at the end of the school year. The bill would require each regional education service center to employ a dyslexia specialist and would specify persons eligible for the position. The bill would require TEA to annually develop a list of training opportunities that comply with the knowledge and practice standards of an international organization on dyslexia and enable an educator to understand and recognize dyslexia and implement certain evidence-based instruction. The bill would require at least one training opportunity to be available online.

The bill would require special education continuing advisory committee (CAC) meetings to be conducted in compliance with Chapter 551, Government Code. The bill would specify the CAC to have certain procedures and would require the CAC to submit a report to the Legislature with recommended changes to laws and rules related to special education by January 1 of each odd-numbered year.

The bill would change the requirements related to transition planning for students with disabilities who receive special education services. The bill would expand the requirements for what must be provided to students and their families; update certain minimum training guidelines; amend requirements for the transition and employment guide; and amend information to be included in a required notice.

The bill would take effect immediately if passed with necessary voting margins, or September 1, 2017. Provisions related to dyslexia services and special education continuing advisory committee (CAC) meetings would apply beginning school year 2017-18. Provisions related to transition planning would apply beginning school year 2018-19.

The Texas Education Agency would experience some administrative costs related to supporting the CAC in developing the legislative report; however, this analysis assumes the agency could absorb the cost within existing resources.

Local Government Impact

School districts and open-enrollment charter school would incur costs associated with the required screening or testing at kindergarten and first grade. Assuming that most districts would choose to screen students, the cost of a typical dyslexia screener ranges from \$2 to \$10 per student. According to TEA, there were 376,814 kindergarten students and 409,977 first grade students enrolled in school year 2015-16. Assuming a cost of \$5 per student, the statewide local cost to assess all kindergarten students would be \$1.8 million (\$5 per student x 376,814 students), with additional cost of \$2 million (\$5 per student X 409,977 students) to assess all first grade students. TEA indicates that many districts already use certain reading assessments that may also be used to as a dyslexia screener; therefore, these costs would vary among districts.

Additionally, districts and charters may opt to test students for dyslexia. The cost of a typical dyslexia test range from \$500 to \$1,000 per student. Cost related to testing would also vary among districts depending on the number of students tested.

Education Service Centers (ESCs) may incur additional costs related to employing a dyslexia specialist with the qualifications as specified in the bill; however, TEA indicates that each ESC already employs a dyslexia specialist so these costs would be minimal.

Source Agencies: 701 Texas Education Agency **LBB Staff:** UP, AW, THo, AM

FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 16, 2017

TO: Honorable Larry Taylor, Chair, Senate Committee on Education

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1886 by Miller (Relating to dyslexia screening and testing, the employment of dyslexia specialists by regional education service centers, and the development by the Texas Education Agency of a list of training opportunities for educators regarding dyslexia.), **As Engrossed**

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Education Code to require screening or testing of all students for dyslexia upon enrollment in kindergarten and testing each student in the first grade at the end of the school year. The bill would require each regional education service center to employ a dyslexia specialist and would specify persons eligible for the position. The bill would require TEA to annually develop a list of training opportunities that comply with the knowledge and practice standards of an international organization on dyslexia and enable an educator to understand and recognize dyslexia and implement certain evidence-based instruction. The bill would require at least one training opportunity to be available online. The bill would take effect immediately if passed with necessary voting margins, or September 1, 2017, and would apply beginning school year 2017-18.

The Texas Education Agency indicates the agency could implement the provisions of the bill using existing resources.

Local Government Impact

School districts and open-enrollment charter school would incur costs associated with the required screening or testing at kindergarten and first grade. Assuming that most districts would choose to screen students, the cost of a typical dyslexia screener ranges from \$2 to \$10 per student. According to TEA, there were 376,814 kindergarten students and 409,977 first grade students enrolled in school year 2015-16. Assuming a cost of \$5 per student, the statewide local cost to assess all kindergarten students would be \$1.8 million (\$5 per student x 376,814 students), with additional cost of \$2 million (\$5 per student X 409,977 students) to assess all first grade students. TEA indicates that many districts already use certain reading assessments that may also be used to as a dyslexia screener; therefore, these costs would vary among districts.

Additionally, districts and charters may opt to test students for dyslexia. The cost of a typical dyslexia test range from \$500 to \$1,000 per student. Cost related to testing would also vary among districts depending on the number of students tested.

Education Service Centers (ESCs) may incur additional costs related to employing a dyslexia specialist with the qualifications as specified in the bill; however, TEA indicates that each ESC already employs a dyslexia specialist so these costs would be minimal.

Source Agencies: 701 Texas Education Agency **LBB Staff:** UP, AW, THo, AM

 $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}$

FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 24, 2017

TO: Honorable Dan Huberty, Chair, House Committee on Public Education

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1886 by Miller (Relating to dyslexia screening and testing, the employment of dyslexia specialists by regional education service centers, and the development by the Texas Education Agency of a list of training opportunities for educators regarding dyslexia.), **Committee Report 1st House, Substituted**

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Education Code to require screening or testing of all students for dyslexia upon enrollment in kindergarten and testing each student in the first grade at the end of the school year. The bill would require each regional education service center to employ a dyslexia specialist and would specify persons eligible for the position. The bill would require TEA to annually develop a list of training opportunities that comply with the knowledge and practice standards of an international organization on dyslexia and enable an educator to understand and recognize dyslexia and implement certain evidence-based instruction. The bill would require at least one training opportunity to be available online. The bill would take effect immediately if passed with necessary voting margins, or September 1, 2017, and would apply beginning school year 2017-18.

The Texas Education Agency indicates the agency could implement the provisions of the bill using existing resources.

Local Government Impact

School districts and open-enrollment charter school would incur costs associated with the required screening or testing at kindergarten and first grade. Assuming that most districts would choose to screen students, the cost of a typical dyslexia screener ranges from \$2 to \$10 per student. According to TEA, there were 376,814 kindergarten students and 409,977 first grade students enrolled in school year 2015-16. Assuming a cost of \$5 per student, the statewide local cost to assess all kindergarten students would be \$1.8 million (\$5 per student x 376,814 students), with additional cost of \$2 million (\$5 per student X 409,977 students) to assess all first grade students. TEA indicates that many districts already use certain reading assessments that may also be used to as a dyslexia screener; therefore, these costs would vary among districts.

Additionally, districts and charters may opt to test students for dyslexia. The cost of a typical dyslexia test range from \$500 to \$1,000 per student. Cost related to testing would also vary among districts depending on the number of students tested.

Education Service Centers (ESCs) may incur additional costs related to employing a dyslexia specialist with the qualifications as specified in the bill; however, TEA indicates that each ESC already employs a dyslexia specialist so these costs would be minimal.

Source Agencies: 701 Texas Education Agency **LBB Staff:** UP, AW, THo, AM

, a

FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 3, 2017

TO: Honorable Dan Huberty, Chair, House Committee on Public Education

FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB1886 by Miller (Relating to dyslexia testing, the designation by the Texas Education Agency of a dyslexia specialist, and the development by the agency of a list of training opportunities for educators regarding dyslexia.), **As Introduced**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB1886, As Introduced: a negative impact of (\$222,888) through the biennium ending August 31, 2019.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2018	(\$115,444)
2019	(\$107,444)
2020	(\$107,444)
2021	(\$107,444)
2022	(\$107,444)

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/(Cost) from <i>General Revenue Fund</i> 1	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2017
2018	(\$115,444)	1.0
2019	(\$107,444)	1.0
2020	(\$107,444)	1.0
2021	(\$107,444)	1.0
2022	(\$107,444)	1.0

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend the Education Code to require testing of all students for dyslexia on the enrollment of kindergarten and testing each student in the first grade at the end of the school year. The bill would require the Texas Education Agency (TEA) to designate a dyslexia specialist to

provide school districts with support and resources. The bill would require TEA to annually develop a list of training opportunities that comply with the knowledge and practice standards of an international organization on dyslexia, and enable an educator to understand and recognize dyslexia and implement certain evidence-based instruction. The bill would require at least one training opportunity to be available online.

The bill would take effect immediately if passed with necessary voting margins, or September 1, 2017, and would apply beginning school year 2017-18.

Methodology

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) estimates one full-time equivalent (FTE) position would be required to serve as the dyslexia specialist and assisting with the required training development. The estimated cost of the FTE, including salary, benefits, and other operating expenses, would be \$115,444 in fiscal year 2018 and \$107,444 in subsequent years.

According to TEA, Education Service Center X (ESC 10) is currently designated as the state dyslexia center and annually produces resources for the state. The agency estimates ESC 10 would assist with the development of the list of training opportunities as required by the bill within existing resources.

Local Government Impact

School districts and open-enrollment charter school would incur costs associated with the required testing at kindergarten and first grade. According to TEA, districts and charters may use multiple assessments to test for dyslexia, with costs ranging from \$500 to \$1,000 per student. According to TEA, there were 376,814 kindergarten students and 409,977 first grade students enrolled in school year 2015-16. Assuming a cost of \$500 per student, the statewide local cost to assess all kindergarten students would be \$188 million (\$500 per student x 376,814 students), with additional cost of \$205 million (\$500 per student X 409,977 students) to assess all first grade students. This estimate includes the cost of the assessment, the cost to analyze the assessment, and the staff time to complete the assessment and related results. These costs would vary depending on district size and grade level composition.

Source Agencies: 701 Texas Education Agency **LBB Staff:** UP, THo, AM, AW