## **SENATE AMENDMENTS**

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Printing

By: Larson, Lucio III

H.B. No. 2377

	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
1	AN ACT
2	relating to the development of brackish groundwater.
3	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
4	SECTION 1. Subchapter D, Chapter 36, Water Code, is amended
5	by adding Section 36.1015 to read as follows:
6	Sec. 36.1015. RULES FOR PERMITS IN BRACKISH GROUNDWATER
7	PRODUCTION ZONES. (a) In this section:
8	(1) "Designated brackish groundwater production zone"
9	means an aquifer, subdivision of an aquifer, or geologic stratum
10	designated under Section 16.060(b)(5).
11	(2) "Development board" means the Texas Water
12	Development Board.
13	(3) "Gulf Coast Aquifer" means the system of
14	hydrogeologic units that run along the Gulf Coast from the Sabine
15	River to the Rio Grande, including:
16	(A) the Catahoula confining system, including
17	the Frio Formation, the Anahuac Formation, and the Catahoula Tuff
18	or Sandstone;
19	(B) the Jasper Aquifer, including the Oakville
20	Sandstone and Fleming Formation;
21	(C) the Burkeville confining system separating
22	the Jasper Aquifer from the Evangeline Aquifer;
23	(D) the Evangeline Aquifer, including the Goliad
24	Sand; and

85R23063 SLB-F

	H.B. No. 2377
1	(E) the Chicot Aquifer, including the Willis
2	Sand, the Bentley and Montgomery Formations, the Beaumont Clay, and
3	alluvial deposits at the surface.
4	(b) A district located over any part of a designated
5	brackish groundwater production zone may adopt rules to govern the
6	issuance of permits for the completion and operation of a well for
7	the withdrawal of brackish groundwater from a designated brackish
8	groundwater production zone and shall adopt rules described by this
9	subsection if the district receives a petition from a person with a
10	legally defined interest in groundwater in the district. The
11	district must adopt the rules not later than the 180th day after the
12	date the district receives the petition. Rules adopted under this
13	subsection apply only to a permit for a project described by
14	Subsection (c).
15	(c) A person may obtain a permit under rules adopted under
16	this section for the following projects:
17	(1) a municipal project designed to treat brackish
18	groundwater to drinking water standards for the purpose of
19	providing a public source of drinking water; and
20	(2) an electric generation project to treat brackish
21	groundwater to water quality standards sufficient for the project
22	needs.
23	(d) The rules adopted must:
24	(1) provide for processing an application for a
25	brackish groundwater production zone operating permit in the same
26	manner as an application for an operating permit for a fresh
27	groundwater well, except as provided by this section;

H.B. No. 2377 1 (2) allow withdrawals and rates of withdrawal of 2 brackish groundwater from a designated brackish groundwater production zone not to exceed and consistent with the withdrawal 3 amounts identified in Section 16.060(e); 4 5 (3) provide for a minimum term of 30 years for a permit issued for a well that produces brackish groundwater from a 6 7 designated brackish groundwater production zone; (4) require implementation of a monitoring system 8 recommended by the development board to monitor water levels and 9 10 water quality in the same or an adjacent aquifer, subdivision of an aquifer, or geologic stratum in which the designated brackish 11 12 groundwater production zone is located; (5) for a project located in a designated brackish 13 14 groundwater production zone in the Gulf Coast Aquifer, require 15 reasonable monitoring by the district of land elevations to determine if production from the project is causing or is likely to 16 17 cause subsidence during the permit term; (6) require from the holder of a permit issued under 18 19 rules adopted under this section annual reports that must include: 20 (A) the of brackish amount groundwater 21 withdrawn; 22 (B) the average monthly water quality of the brackish groundwater withdrawn and in the monitoring wells; and 23 24 (C) aquifer levels in both the designated brackish groundwater production zone and in any aquifer, 25 26 subdivision of an aquifer, or geologic stratum for which the permit 27 requires monitoring; and

	H.B. No. 2377
1	(7) be consistent with and not impair property rights
2	described by Sections 36.002(a) and (b).
3	(e) An application for a brackish groundwater production
4	zone operating permit must include:
5	(1) the proposed well field design compared to the
6	designated brackish groundwater production zone;
7	(2) the requested maximum groundwater withdrawal rate
8	for the proposed project;
9	(3) the number and location of monitoring wells needed
10	to determine the effects of the proposed project on water levels and
11	water quality in the same or an adjacent aquifer, subdivision of an
12	aquifer, or geologic stratum in which the designated brackish
13	groundwater production zone is located; and
14	(4) a report that includes:
15	(A) a simulation of the projected effects of the
16	proposed production on water levels and water quality in the same or
17	an adjacent aquifer, subdivision of an aquifer, or geologic stratum
18	in which the designated brackish groundwater production zone is
19	<pre>located;</pre>
20	(B) a description of the model used for the
21	simulation described by Paragraph (A); and
22	(C) sufficient information for a technical
23	reviewer to understand the parameters and assumptions used in the
24	model described by Paragraph (B).
25	(f) The district shall submit the application to the
26	development board and the development board shall conduct a
27	technical review of the application. The development board shall

H.B. No. 2377

1	submit a report of the review of the application that includes:
2	(1) findings regarding the compatibility of the
3	proposed well field design with the designated brackish groundwater
4	production zone; and
5	(2) recommendations for the monitoring system
6	described by Subsection (d)(4).
7	(g) The district may not schedule a hearing on the
8	application until the district receives the report from the
9	development board described by Subsection (f).
10	(h) The district shall provide the reports required under
11	Subsection (d)(6) to the development board. Not later than the
12	120th day after the date the development board receives a request
13	from the district, the development board shall investigate and
14	issue a report on whether brackish groundwater production under the
15	project that is the subject of the report from the designated
16	brackish groundwater production zone is projected to cause:
17	(1) significant aquifer level declines in the same or
18	an adjacent aquifer, subdivision of an aquifer, or geologic stratum
19	that were not anticipated by the development board in the
20	designation of the zone;
21	(2) negative effects on quality of water in an
22	aquifer, subdivision of an aquifer, or geologic stratum; or
23	(3) for a project located in a designated brackish
24	groundwater production zone in the Gulf Coast Aquifer, subsidence
25	during the permit term.
26	(i) After receiving from the development board a report
27	issued under Subsection (h) and after notice and hearing subject to

[**P.5**]

H.B. No. 2377

1	Subchapter M, the district may:
2	(1) amend the applicable permit to establish a
3	production limit necessary to mitigate any negative effects
4	identified by the report;
5	(2) approve a mitigation plan that alleviates any
6	negative effects identified by the report; or
7	(3) both amend the permit to establish a production
8	limit and approve a mitigation plan.
9	SECTION 2. Section 36.1132, Water Code, is amended by
10	adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:
11	(d) Production under a permit issued under Section 36.1015
12	to produce brackish groundwater from a designated brackish
13	groundwater production zone is in addition to the amount of
14	groundwater that may be produced based on Subsections (b)(1) and
15	(2). To the extent possible, a district shall issue permits up to
16	the point that the total volume of exempt and permitted groundwater
17	production in a designated brackish groundwater production zone
18	equals the amount of brackish groundwater that may be produced
19	annually to achieve the groundwater availability described by the
20	Texas Water Development Board in its designation of the brackish
21	groundwater production zone under Section 16.060(e).
22	SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. ADOPTED Charle Pery MAY 2 4 2017

Amend H.B. No. 2377 (senate committee printing) by adding 1 the following appropriately numbered SECTIONS to the bill and 2 renumbering subsequent SECTIONS of the bill accordingly: 3 SECTION \_. Section 35.018(b), Water Code, is amended to 4

5 read as follows:

6

21

(b) The report must include:

7 (1) the names and locations of all priority groundwater management areas and districts created or attempted 8 to be created on or after November 5, 1985, the effective date 9 of Chapter 133 (H.B. No. 2), Acts of the 69th Legislature, 10 11 Regular Session, 1985;

12 (2) the authority under which each priority groundwater management area and district was proposed for 13 14 creation;

15 (3) a detailed analysis of each election held to 16 confirm the creation of a district, including analysis of election results, possible reasons for the success or failure to 17 confirm the creation of a district, and the possibility for 18 future voter approval of districts in areas in which attempts to 19 create districts failed; 20

(4) a detailed analysis of the activities of each district created, including those districts which are 22 23 implementing management plans certified under Section 36.1072;

24 (5) a report on [audits performed on districts under 25 Section 36.302 and] remedial actions taken under Section 36.303; 26 (6) recommendations for changes in this chapter and 27

Chapter 36 that will facilitate the creation of priority groundwater management areas and the creation and operation of 28 29 districts;

1

17.143.430 SLB

[**P.7**]

(7) a report on educational efforts in newly
 designated priority groundwater management areas; and

3 (8) any other information and recommendations that4 the commission considers relevant.

5 SECTION \_\_. Section 36.061(b), Water Code, is amended to 6 read as follows:

7 (b) The state auditor may <u>conduct a financial</u> audit [the
8 records] of any district if the state auditor determines that
9 the audit is necessary.

10 SECTION \_\_\_. Section 36.1072(f), Water Code, is amended to
11 read as follows:

(f) If the executive administrator does not approve the 12 district's management plan, the executive administrator shall 13 provide to the district, in writing, the reasons for the 14 action. Not later than the 180th day after the date a district 15 receives notice that its management plan has not been approved, 16 the district may submit a revised management plan for review and 17 approval. The executive administrator's decision may be 18 19 appealed to the development board. If the development board decides not to approve the district's management plan on appeal, 20 the district may request that the conflict be mediated. The 21 district and the board may seek the assistance of the Center for 22 Public Policy Dispute Resolution at The University of Texas 23 School of Law or an alternative dispute resolution system 24 established under Chapter 152, Civil Practice and Remedies Code, 25 in obtaining a qualified impartial third party to mediate the 26 conflict. The cost of the mediation services must be specified 27 in the agreement between the parties and the Center for Public 28 Policy Dispute Resolution or the alternative dispute resolution 29 system. If the parties do not resolve the conflict through 30 mediation, the decision of the development board not to approve 31 2 17.143.430 SLB

[**P.8**]

the district's management plan may be appealed to a district 1 court in Travis County. Costs for the appeal shall be set by 2 the court hearing the appeal. An appeal under this subsection 3 is by trial de novo. The commission shall not take enforcement 4 action against a district under Subchapter I until the latest of 5 the expiration of the 180-day period, the date the development 6 7 board has taken final action withholding approval of a revised management plan, the date the mediation is completed, or the 8 date a final judgment upholding the board's decision is entered 9 by a district court. An enforcement action may not be taken 10 against a district by the commission [or the state auditor] 11 under Subchapter I because the district's management plan and 12 the approved regional water plan are in conflict while 13 the parties are attempting to resolve the conflict before 14 the development board, in mediation, or in court. Rules of the 15 district continue in full force and effect until all appeals 16 under this subsection have been exhausted and the final judgment 17 is adverse to the district. 18

SECTION \_\_\_\_. Section 36.113, Water Code, is amended by amending Subsection (d) and adding Subsection (d-1) to read as follows:

(d) This subsection does not apply to the renewal of an operating permit issued under Section 36.1145. Before granting or denying a permit, or a permit amendment issued in accordance with Section 36.1146, the district shall consider whether:

26 (1) the application conforms to the requirements 27 prescribed by this chapter and is accompanied by the prescribed 28 fees;

(2) the proposed use of water unreasonably affects:
 (A) existing groundwater and surface water
 resources; [or]

3

17.143.430 SLB

1 (B) existing permit holders; or 2 (C) registered wells that are exempt from the requirement to obtain a permit under this chapter or district 3 4 rules; 5 (3) the proposed use of water is dedicated to any 6 beneficial use; 7 (4) the proposed use of water is consistent with the 8 district's approved management plan; (5) if the well will be located in the Hill Country 9 Priority Groundwater Management Area, the proposed use of water 10 from the well is wholly or partly to provide water to a pond, 11 lake, or reservoir to enhance the appearance of the landscape; 12 13 (6) the applicant has agreed to avoid waste and 14 achieve water conservation; and 15 (7) the applicant has agreed that reasonable diligence will be used to protect groundwater quality and that 16 the applicant will follow well plugging guidelines at the time 17 18 of well closure. 19 (d-1) The district's consideration of the effect the proposed use of water has on a registered well described by 20 Subsection (d)(2)(C) does not affect the registered well's 21 permit exemption under this chapter or district rules. 22 SECTION \_\_. Section 36.303(a), Water Code, is amended to 23 24 read as follows: (a) If Section 36.301 <u>or</u> [7] 36.3011[7 or 36.302(f)] 25 applies, the commission, after notice and hearing in accordance 26 with Chapter 2001, Government Code, shall take action the 27 commission considers appropriate, including: 28 29 (1) issuing an order requiring the district to take certain actions or to refrain from taking certain actions; 30 31 (2) dissolving the board in accordance with Sections 4 17.143.430 SLB

1 36.305 and 36.307 and calling an election for the purpose of 2 electing a new board;

3 (3) requesting the attorney general to bring suit for 4 the appointment of a receiver to collect the assets and carry on 5 the business of the groundwater conservation district; or

6 (4) dissolving the district in accordance with 7 Sections 36.304, 36.305, and 36.308.

8 SECTION \_\_\_. Section 36.302, Water Code, is repealed.

17.143.430 SLB

## FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## May 25, 2017

**TO:** Honorable Joe Straus, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

### FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

## IN RE: HB2377 by Larson (Relating to the development of brackish groundwater.), As Passed 2nd House

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Texas Water Code to authorize a Groundwater Conservation District (GCD) located over any part of a designated brackish groundwater production zone to adopt rules to permit the withdrawal of brackish groundwater in a designated brackish groundwater production zone. Permits may be issued either for a municipal project to treat brackish groundwater to drinking water standards or for an electric generation project. The bill would require Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) review and comment on permit applications and to make recommendations. The bill would require TWDB to report on the impacts of brackish groundwater production in designated zones at the request of GCDs. The bill would also require TWDB to receive and review annual brackish groundwater production reports from GCDs. According to TWDB, the agency will need to process and maintain additional data in accordance with provisions of the bill, however, no significant fiscal impact is anticipated.

The bill would clarify that the State Auditor's Office (SAO) may conduct a financial audit of a GCD if SAO determines as necessary, removes SAO's ability to conduct certain enforcement action against a GCD if there is conflict with the district's management plan and approved regional water plan with another party, and repeals the SAO authority to review a GCD to make a determination of whether a district is actively engaged in achieving the objectives of the district's management plan. No significant fiscal impact is anticipated as a result of these provisions.

#### Local Government Impact

According to the Bee Groundwater Conservation District, McMullen Groundwater Conservation District, San Patricio County Groundwater Conservation District, and the Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District, the bill would cost each district an estimated \$15,000.

## FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## May 15, 2017

TO: Honorable Charles Perry, Chair, Senate Committee on Agriculture, Water & Rural Affairs

#### FROM: Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

## IN RE: HB2377 by Larson (Relating to the development of brackish groundwater.), As Engrossed

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Texas Water Code to authorize a Groundwater Conservation District (GCD) located over any part of a designated brackish groundwater production zone to adopt rules to permit the withdrawal of brackish groundwater in a designated brackish groundwater production zone. Permits may be issued either for a municipal project to treat brackish groundwater to drinking water standards or for an electric generation project. The bill would require Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) review and comment on permit applications and to make recommendations. The bill would require TWDB to report on the impacts of brackish groundwater production in designated zones at the request of GCDs. The bill would also require TWDB to receive and review annual brackish groundwater production reports from GCDs. According to TWDB, the agency will need to process and maintain additional data in accordance with provisions of the bill, however, no significant fiscal impact is anticipated.

#### Local Government Impact

According to the Bee Groundwater Conservation District, McMullen Groundwater Conservation District, San Patricio County Groundwater Conservation District, and the Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District, the bill would cost each district an estimated \$15,000.

#### FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## April 17, 2017

**TO:** Honorable Lyle Larson, Chair, House Committee on Natural Resources

**FROM:** Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

#### IN RE: HB2377 by Larson (relating to the development of brackish groundwater.), Committee Report 1st House, Substituted

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Texas Water Code to authorize a Groundwater Conservation District (GCD) located over any part of a designated brackish groundwater production zone to adopt rules to permit the withdrawal of brackish groundwater in a designated brackish groundwater production zone. Permits may be issued either for a municipal project to treat brackish groundwater to drinking water standards or for an electric generation project. The bill would require Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) review and comment on permit applications and to make recommendations. The bill would require TWDB to report on the impacts of brackish groundwater production in designated zones at the request of GCDs. The bill would also require TWDB to receive and review annual brackish groundwater production reports from GCDs. According to TWDB, the agency will need to process and maintain additional data in accordance with provisions of the bill, however, no significant fiscal impact is anticipated.

#### **Local Government Impact**

11.1

According to the Bee Groundwater Conservation District, McMullen Groundwater Conservation District, San Patricio County Groundwater Conservation District, and the Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District, the bill would cost each district an estimated \$15,000.

λ I τ i μ i μ μ β-

### FISCAL NOTE, 85TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

## April 3, 2017

TO: Honorable Lyle Larson, Chair, House Committee on Natural Resources

**FROM:** Ursula Parks, Director, Legislative Budget Board

# IN RE: HB2377 by Larson (Relating to the development of brackish groundwater.), As Introduced

#### No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Texas Water Code to require a Groundwater Conservation District (GCD) located over any part of a designated brackish groundwater production zone that is petitioned by a person with a legally defined interest in groundwater in the district to develop and adopt rules to permit withdrawal of brackish groundwater from a well in a designated brackish groundwater production zone for projects designed to treat brackish groundwater to drinking water standards. The GCD may request that the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) investigate and issue a report on whether withdrawals are causing significant, unanticipated aquifer level declines in an adjacent freshwater aquifer or negative effects on water quality in an aquifer. According to TWDB, the agency will need to process and maintain additional data in accordance with provisions of the bill, however, no significant fiscal impact is anticipated.

#### **Local Government Impact**

According to the Southeast Texas Groundwater Conservation District, no fiscal impact to the District is anticipated.