```
1-1
      By: West
                                                                                S.B. No. 1253
 1-2
1-3
                 (In the Senate - Filed March 3, 2017; March 13, 2017, read
        first time and referred to Committee on Criminal Justice; April 10, 2017, reported adversely, with favorable Committee
 1-4
 1-5
        Substitute by the following vote: Yeas 6, Nays 1; April 10, 2017,
 1-6
        sent to printer.)
                                           COMMITTEE VOTE
 1-7
 1-8
                                                                 Absent
                                                                                 PNV
                                                     Nay
 1-9
                Whitmire
                                            Χ
1-10
1-11
                Huffman
                Birdwell
1-12
                Burton
                                            Χ
1-13
                Creighton
                                            Χ
1-14
                Garcia
1-15
1-16
                Hughes
                                                                     X
                Menéndez
1-17
                Perry
1-18
        COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR S.B. No. 1253
                                                                                   By:
                                                                                          Perry
1-19
                                       A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
1-20
                                                 AN ACT
1-21
        relating to the electronic recording and admissibility of certain
1-22
        custodial interrogations.
1-23
                BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
1-24
                SECTION 1. Chapter 2, Code of Criminal Procedure,
        amended by adding Article 2.32 to read as follows:
1-25
1-26
1-27
                      2.32. ELECTRONIC RECO
                                                      RECORDING
                                                                          OF
                Art.
                                                                                    CUSTODIAL
        INTERROGATIONS. (a) In this article:

(1) "Custodial interrogation" means any investigative
1-28
        questioning, other than routine questions associated with booking,
1-29
1-30
        by a peace officer during which:
        (A) a reasonable person in the position of the person being interrogated would consider himself or herself to be
1-31
1-32
1-33
        in custody; and
1-34
                               (B)
                                    a question is asked that is reasonably likely
       to elicit an incriminating response.

(2) "Electronic recording" means an audiovisual electronic recording, or an audio recording if an audiovisual electronic recording is unavailable, that is an authentic, accurate, and unaltered record of a custodial interrogation.
1-35
1-36
1-37
1-38
1-39
        (3) "Law enforcement agency" means an agency of the state, or of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of this state, that employs peace officers who, in the routine
1-40
1-41
1-42
        performance of the officers' duties,
1-43
                                                                     conduct custodial
1-44
        interrogations of persons suspected of committing criminal
        offenses.
1-45
        (4) "Place of detention" means a police station or other building that is a place of operation for a law enforcement
1-46
1-47
1-48
        agency, including a municipal police department or county sheriff's
1-49
        department, and is owned or operated by the law enforcement agency
        for the purpose of detaining persons in connection with the suspected violation of a penal law. The term does not include a
1-50
1-51
1-52
        courthouse.
1-53
                                                                that
                 (b)
                       Unless
                                  good cause exists
                                                                         makes
        recording infeasible, a law enforcement agency shall make a complete and contemporaneous electronic recording of any custodial
1-54
1-55
        interrogation that occurs in a place of detention and is of a person suspected of committing or charged with the commission of an
1-56
1-57
1-58
        offense under:
```

Section 19.02, Penal Code (murder);

Section 19.03, Penal Code (capital murder);

1**-**59

1-60

(1)

(2)

C.S.S.B. No. 1253 Section 20.03, Penal Code (kidnapping); 20.04, (4)Section Penal Code (aggravated kidnapping) (5) 20A.02, Penal Code Section (trafficking of persons); 20A.03, (6)Section Penal Code (continuous trafficking of persons); Section 21.02, Penal Code (continuous sexual abuse of young child or children); Penal Code (indecency with a (8) Section 21.11, child); (9)Section 21.12, Penal Code (improper relationship between educator and student); Section 22.011 (10) Penal Code (sexual assault); (11)Section 22.021, Penal Code (aggravated sexual assault); or (12)Section 43.25, Penal Code (sexual performance by a child). (c) For purposes of Subsection (b), an electronic recording of a custodial interrogation is complete only if the recording:
(1) begins at or before the time the person being interrogated enters the area of the place of detention in which the custodial interrogation will take place or receives a warning described by Section 2(a), Article 38.22, whichever is earlier; and continues, without interruption, until the the interrogation ceases. For purposes of Subsection (b), good cause that makes (d) electronic recording infeasible includes the following: (1) the person being interrogated refused to respond cooperate a custodial interrogation at which an electronic in recording was being made, provided that: (A) a contemporaneous recording of the refusal was made; or (B) the peace officer or agent of the law enforcement agency conducting the interrogation attempted, in good faith, to record the person's refusal but the person was unwilling to have the refusal recorded, and the peace officer or agent contemporaneously, in writing, documented the refusal; (2) the statement was not made as the result of custodial interrogation, including a statement that was made spontaneously by the accused and not in response to a question by a peace officer; the peace officer or agent of the law enforcement agency conducting the interrogation attempted, in good faith, to record the interrogation but the recording equipment did not function, the officer or agent inadvertently operated the equipment incorrectly, or the equipment malfunctioned or stopped operating (4) exigent public safety concerns prevented orinfeasible the an electronic recording of making of the

2-1

2 - 2

2-3

2 - 4

2**-**5 2**-**6

2-7

2-8

2-9 2**-**10 2**-**11

2-12

2-13

2-14

2**-**15 2**-**16

2-17

2-18 2-19

2**-**20 2**-**21

2-22

2-23

2-24 2**-**25 2**-**26

2-27

2-28

2-29

2-30

2-31

2-32

2-33

2-34 2-35

2-36 2-37

2-38 2-39

2-40 2-41

2-42 2-43

2-44

2-45 2-46

2-47 2-48

2-49

2-50

2-51

2-52

2-53

2-54

2-55 2-56 2-57

2**-**58

2-59

2-60 2-61 2-62

2-63 2-64 2-65

2-66

2-67

2-68 2-69 without the knowledge of the officer or agent; <u>rende</u>red statement; or

(5) the peace officer or agent of the law enforcement agency conducting the interrogation reasonably believed at the time the interrogation commenced that the person being interrogated was not taken into custody for or being interrogated concerning commission of an offense listed in Subsection (b).

The attorney representing the state shall provide to the (e) defendant, in a timely manner and not later than the 30th day before the date the trial begins, a copy of an electronic recording

described by Subsection (b).
(f) A recording of a custodial interrogation that complies this article is exempt from public disclosure except

provided by Section 552.108, Government Code.

SECTION 2. Chapter 38, Code of Criminal Procedure, amended by adding Article 38.24 to read as follows:

Art. 38.24. USE OF CERTAIN EVIDENCE CONCERNING ELECTRONIC RECORDING OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS. (a) Unless the attorney representing the state offers proof satisfactory to the court that good cause, as described by Article 2.32(d), existed that made

```
C.S.S.B. No. 1253
      electronic recording of the custodial interrogation infeasible, evidence of compliance or noncompliance with Article 2.32
 3-1
 3-2
      concerning the electronic recording of a custodial interrogation
 3-3
      that occurs in a place of detention and is of a person suspected of committing or charged with the commission of an offense listed in
 3 - 4
 3-5
      Article 2.32(b):
 3-6
                   <u>(</u>1)
 3-7
                         is relevant and admissible before the trier of
 3-8
      fact; and
 3-9
                    (2)
                         may be considered in determining the admissibility
3-10
      of a defendant's statement under Article 38.22, Article 38.23,
3-11
      another provision of this chapter, or another law.

(b) If a statement made by a person during
3-12
                                                                       custodial
      interrogation described by Subsection (a) is admitted in evidence
3-13
      during trial, and if an electronic recording of the complete
3-14
3-15
      interrogation is not available, the court:
3-16
                          if the court is the trier of fact, may consider the
                    (1)
                    an electronic recording of the interrogation in
3-17
      absence
                of
      evaluating the evidence relating to and resulting from the
3-18
3-19
      interrogation; and
3-20
                    (2)
                          if the jury is the trier of fact, shall on request
3-21
      of the defendant instruct the jury that:
3-22
                          (A) it is the policy
                                                          of
                                                               this
                                                                       state
                          record custodial interrogations of persons
3-23
      electronically
      suspected of committing or charged with the commission of an offense listed in Article 2.32(b);
3-24
3-25
3-26
      (B) the jury may consider the absence of electronic recording of the interrogation in evaluating
3-27
                                                                               the
3-28
      evidence relating to and resulting from the interrogation; and
                          (C) the jury may draw a negative inference from
3-29
      the failure to make an electronic recording of an interrogation in compliance with the law.
3-30
3-31
3-32
             SECTION 3. Article 38.24, Code of Criminal Procedure, as
      added by this Act, applies to the use of a statement resulting from
3-33
3-34
      a custodial interrogation that occurs on or after September 1,
```

2018, regardless of whether the criminal offense giving rise to that interrogation is committed before, on, or after that date.

SECTION 4. This Act takes effect September 1, 2017.

3-38 * * * *

3-35 3-36 3-37