By: Gallego H.B. No. 220

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to procedures for applications for writs of habeas corpus
3	based on relevant scientific evidence.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Chapter 11, Code of Criminal Procedure, is
6	amended by adding Article 11.073 to read as follows:
7	Art. 11.073. PROCEDURES RELATED TO CERTAIN SCIENTIFIC
8	EVIDENCE. (a) This article applies to relevant scientific evidence
9	that:
10	(1) was not available to be offered by the convicted
11	person at the convicted person's trial; or
12	(2) discredits scientific evidence relied on by the
13	state at trial.
14	(b) A court may grant a convicted person relief on ar
15	application for a writ of habeas corpus if:
16	(1) the convicted person files an application, in the
17	manner provided by Article 11.07, 11.071, or 11.072, containing
18	sufficient specific facts indicating that:
19	(A) relevant scientific evidence is currently
20	available and was not available at the time of the convicted
21	person's trial because the evidence was not ascertainable through
22	the exercise of reasonable diligence by the convicted person before

23

24

(B) the scientific evidence would be admissible

the date of or during the convicted person's trial; and

- 1 under the Texas Rules of Evidence at a trial held on the date of the
- 2 application; and
- 3 (2) the court makes the findings described by
- 4 Subdivisions (1)(A) and (B) and also finds that, had the scientific
- 5 evidence been presented at trial, it is reasonably probable that
- 6 the person would not have been convicted.
- 7 (c) For purposes of Section 4(a)(1), Article 11.07, Section
- 8 5(a)(1), Article 11.071, and Section 9(a), Article 11.072, a claim
- 9 or issue could not have been presented previously in an original
- 10 application or in a previously considered application if the claim
- 11 or issue is based on relevant scientific evidence that was not
- 12 ascertainable through the exercise of reasonable diligence by the
- 13 convicted person on or before the date on which the original
- 14 application or a previously considered application, as applicable,
- 15 was filed.
- 16 (d) In making a finding as to whether relevant scientific
- 17 evidence was not ascertainable through the exercise of reasonable
- 18 diligence on or before a specific date, the court shall consider
- 19 whether the scientific knowledge or method on which the relevant
- 20 scientific evidence is based has changed since:
- 21 (1) the applicable trial date or dates, for a
- 22 <u>determination made with respect to an original application; or</u>
- 23 (2) the date on which the original application or a
- 24 previously considered application, as applicable, was filed, for a
- 25 determination made with respect to a subsequent application.
- 26 SECTION 2. The change in law made by this Act applies only
- 27 to an application for a writ of habeas corpus filed on or after the

H.B. No. 220

- 1 effective date of this Act. An application for a writ of habeas
- 2 corpus filed before the effective date of this Act is governed by
- 3 the law in effect at the time the application was filed, and the
- 4 former law is continued in effect for that purpose.
- 5 SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2011.

H.B. No. 220

## 1 COMMITTEE AMENDMENT NO. 1

- 2 SECTION \_\_\_\_. Amend HB 220 (Introduced Version) by changing the
- 3 following:
- 4 Page 1, line 22, strike "reasonable" and replace it with "likely".
- 5 Page 2, line 12, strike "<a href="reasonable" and replace it with "likely"." it is a strike "reasonable" and replace it with "likely".
- 6 Page 2, line 17, strike " $\underline{\text{reasonable}}$ " and replace it with " $\underline{\text{likely}}$ ".
- 7 Hartnett